attitudes, including multiplier effects on teachers and parents.7 By making mental-health issues personally relevant for the young people, these programmes prevent the distinction between "them" and "us" as a precondition for discrimination to occur. Many people who live with a mental illness find it difficult to find or keep a job, with unemployment rates of up to 95% for those with severe mental illness.8 Although stigma-management programmes could develop their skills and encourage them to apply for work, this is often not enough. We have to acknowledge that people with mental illness have illness-related deficits while facing an increasingly competitive labour market. Beyond courage, people with mental illness therefore need support to realistically assess their potential, to find a job, and to keep it. A promising model is individual placement and support, which emphasises continuing support to patients and employers by a job coach working with the mental-health team and has proven more effective than vocational services for both employment and clinical outcomes. 9,10

To successfully fight stigma and discrimination, we need to know what we are talking about. Much research on stigma, discrimination, and prejudice fails to clearly define the concepts involved. Conceptual clarity becomes more important when targeting antistigma interventions. To this end, we should refine the methods for measuring stigma and discrimination, because efforts to fight stigma will only have a lasting effect if we can document progress. In particular, we need compact validated instruments to measure "felt" stigma and qualitative studies for understanding stigma from within specific cultural contexts.

The INDIGO study is breaking new ground, pointing to the kind of research we need to more fully understand stigma and discrimination. By investigating actual discrimination and self-stigma, the study brings together the structural and cognitive perspectives that have not previously been combined. Furthermore, this study combines quantitative and qualitative data on discrimination experiences of people with schizophrenia from 27 countries. However, what remains to be done is to determine the effect of discrimination on health and social outcomes and translate these findings into effective public-health strategies.

#### Beate Schulze

Research Unit for Clinical and Social Psychiatry, Centre for Disaster and Military Psychiatry (CDMP), University of Zurich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland beate.schulze@bli.uzh.ch

I thank Irrsinnig menschlich eV in Leipzig, Germany, for my first opportunity to translate stigma research into practice and back. I declare that I have no conflict of interest.

- 1 Thornicroft G, Brohan E, Rose D, Sartorius N, Leese M, for the INDIGO Study Group. Global pattern of experienced and anticipated discrimination against people with schizophrenia: a cross-sectional survey. Lancet 2009; published Jan 21. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61817-6.
- 2 Sartorius N, Schulze H. Reducing the stigma of mental illness: a report from a global programme of the World Psychiatric Association. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- 3 Corrigan PW, Lundin R. Don't call me nuts! Coping with the stigma of mental illness. Tinley Park, IL: Recovery Press, 2001.
- 4 Benedetti F, Pollo A, Lopiano L, Lanotte M, Vighetti S, Rainero I. Conscious expectation and unconscious conditioning in analgesic, motor, and hormonal placebo/nocebo responses. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 4315–23.
- 5 Schulze B. Stigma and mental health professionals: a review of the evidence on an intricate relationship. Int Rev Psychiatr 2007; 19: 137–55.
- 6 Hamann J, Leucht S, Kissling W. Shared decision making in psychiatry. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2003; 107: 403–09.
- 7 Schulze B, Richter-Werling M, Matschinger H, Angermeyer MC. Crazy? So what! Effects of a school project on students' attitudes towards people with schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2003; 107: 142–50.
- 8 Mueser KT, Salyers MP, Mueser PR. A prospective analysis of work in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 2001; 27: 281–96.
- 9 Burns T, Catty J, Becker T, et al, for the EQOLISE Group. The effectiveness of supported employment for people with severe mental illness: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2007; 370: 1146–52.
- Drake RE, McHugo GJ, Bebout RR, et al. A randomized clinical trial of supported employment for inner-city patients with severe mental disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatr 1999; 56: 627–33.
- 11 Stuber J, Meyer I, Link B. Stigma, prejudice, discrimination and health. Soc Sci Med 2008; 67: 351–57.

## Trade agreements and health in developing countries



Politicians champion free trade for bringing an era of high and stable growth, although the evidence supporting such claims is ambiguous. Studies that associate increases in trade with increases in gross domestic product often leave open questions of causality: high growth, the result for instance of strong industrial policies, typically leads to more trade. China

and India's growth spurts preceded trade liberalisation. A study by UN Development Programme showed little relation between trade liberalisation and growth.<sup>1</sup>

But trade liberalisation is associated with growing inequality in most countries of the world (although there are other contributing factors). Especially in conjunction with liberalisation in capital and

Published Online January 22, 2009 DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61772-9 See Series page 416

# The printed journal includes an image merely for illustration

Mariam Sabo has her own cotton field, independent of those of her husband and family, in Burkina Faso

She cannot make money despite good yields because cotton prices are below production costs. Burkina Faso produces some of the world's highest quality, low-cost cotton, but huge American and European cotton subsidies are destroying the industry.

financial markets, trade liberalisation has brought unprecedented instability, even in countries with otherwise sound economic policies. Moreover, trade liberalisation has deprived developing countries, which are heavily dependent on tariffs, of needed revenues. The resulting combination of increases in poverty and social stress with decreased public spending is a prescription for decreases in health status. Even a relatively short episode of malnutrition from a severe downturn, of the kind that became all too common during the global financial crisis of 1997–98, can have lifelong consequences.<sup>2</sup>

But perhaps the most adverse consequences for health arise from provisions in trade agreements that are designed to restrict access to generic medicines. These include the TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property) provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreement of 1994 (as discussed by Richard Smith and colleagues in a paper in this Series³) and the data exclusivity provisions that have become a standard part of US<sup>4</sup> and European<sup>5</sup> bilateral trade agreements.

The fundamental problem with the intellectual property (patent) system is simple: it is based on restricting the use of knowledge.<sup>6</sup> There is no extra cost associated with an additional person gaining the benefits of knowledge. Restricting knowledge is thus inefficient, but the patent system also grants (temporary) monopoly power, which gives rise to enormous economic inefficiencies. In the USA, high prices make drugs particularly costly for people without insurance; in the developing world, people cannot afford brand-name drugs, but might be able to afford generics. Generic versions of first-line AIDS drugs, for instance, have reduced the cost of treatment by 99% since 2000, from US\$10 000 to \$130 per year.<sup>7</sup>

Advocates of intellectual property argue that such protection is necessary to provide incentives for research. But drug companies spend far more money on advertising and marketing than on research, far more on research for lifestyle drugs than on life-saving drugs, and almost no money on diseases afflicting the poor countries, such as malaria. The reason is economics: companies direct their research where the money is, regardless of the value to society. Poor people cannot pay for drugs, so there is little research on their diseases, no matter what the costs to society.

There is, moreover, little relation between private rewards and social returns. A me-too drug can be highly profitable, even if its value to society is limited. Similarly, companies raced to beat the human genome project to obtain patents on genes, such as *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* in breast cancer. The value of these efforts was minimal: the knowledge was produced a little sooner than it would have been otherwise. But the cost to society was enormous, encompassing far more than the wasted duplication of research. In the USA, the high price that Myriad Genetics, the holder of the *BRCA* patents, will charge for genetic tests—over \$2500—means that many women, who could otherwise have been tested, discovered that they were at risk, and taken appropriate remediation, might die instead.<sup>8</sup>

Trade advocates claim that in the World Trade Organization (WTO) there are built-in flexibilities that allow access to life-saving drugs through compulsory licensing. But these provisions were designed to make it difficult for countries to issue such licences. If they wanted developing countries to have access to essential drugs, they should have allowed automatic

licences for all drugs except those that are not essential. When Thailand or Brazil proposed to issue compulsory licences, enormous pressure came from the USA not to do so. To further discourage governments from setting standards for granting patents that balance the social benefits and costs, these trade agreements allow private parties to sue governments at the WTO. (In most other arenas, only governments can bring action in the WTO.)

The data-exclusivity provisions of the bilateral trade agreements are, in some respects, even worse. They restrict the use of drug-company data (even when generated by publicly funded research and/or published) to validate safety and efficacy. Some of the trade agreements seem to restrict use of bioequivalency. If a generic drug can be shown to be equivalent to a drug that has been approved, why should it not be approved as well? Moreover, if a drug has been proven safe and effective, there are ethical problems in testing an equivalent generic against placebo. Data exclusivity (with other related provisions) can thus extend the effective life of a patent by as much as 10 years.

But unlike patents, challenges to claims of data exclusivity in court seem impossible, and there are worries that data exclusivity may even prevent valid patent challenges from occurring before the period expires.

These adverse effects of trade liberalisation, and trade agreements on health are not inevitable. They are the result of how we have managed trade—to enhance profits

of the drug companies, not to enhance the health of those in the developing countries. As I,<sup>6</sup> and Richard Smith and other colleagues in another paper in this Series,<sup>10</sup> have proposed, we can reform our trade regimes and the way we finance and encourage research into drugs so as to improve health—and even lower costs.<sup>6,10</sup>

### Joseph E Stiglitz

Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA jes322@columbia.edu

I thank the Hewlett and Ford Foundations and the Rockefeller Brothers Fund for financial support, Arjun Jayadev for helpful discussions, and Jill Blackford for research assistance

- UN Development Programme. Making global trade work for people. 2003. http://www.boell.org/docs/UNDPTradeBook2003NEW.pdf (accessed Nov 18, 2008).
- 2 Soekirman KD. Food and nutrition security and the economic crisis in Indonesia. *Asia Pacific J Clin Nutr* 2008; **10** (suppl): S57–61.
- 3 Smith RD, Correa C, Oh C. Trade, TRIPS, and pharmaceuticals. Lancet 2009; published online Jan 22. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61779-1.
- 4 Office of the United States Trade Representative. Trade agreements. http:// www.ustr.gov/Trade\_Agreements/Section\_Index.html (accessed Nov.18, 2008)
- 5 European Commission. Bilateral trade relations. http://ec.europa.eu/trade/ issues/bilateral/index\_en.htm (accessed Nov 21, 2008).
- 6 Stiglitz JE. Making globalization work, New York: WW Norton, 2006.
- Médecins Sans Frontières. People not getting the treatment they need to stay alive; newer aids drugs unaffordable and unavailable. Nov 29, 2006. http://www.msfaccess.org/media-room/press-releases/press-releasedetail/?tx\_ttnews[tt\_news]=218cHash=e348dc88fb (accessed Nov 21. 2008).
- Matthijs G. Patenting genes. BMJ 2004; 329: 1358-60.
- 9 Anon. WHO cautions Thailand against issuing compulsory license for Abbott's antiretroviral Kaletra. Medical News Today. Feb 7, 2007 http:// www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/62396.php (accessed Nov 18, 2008).
- Smith RD, Lee K, Drager N. Trade and health: an agenda for action. Lancet 2009; published online Jan 22. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736 (08)61780-8.

## Dietary fibre: an agreed definition

On Nov 4, 2008, the 30th Session of the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Dietary Uses (CCNFSDU) met in South Africa, and agreed a definition of dietary fibre.¹ Does this matter? Yes, because this Codex Committee sets global food standards and this definition will be used as the basis for measurement, food labelling, setting reference nutrient values, and health claims.

In 1998, a joint expert consultation by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO) and WHO on carbohydrates in human nutrition recommended that carbohydrate classification and terminology should be based mainly on chemical divisions. The consultation proposed to use the terms sugars, oligosaccharides, and polysaccharides, with appropriate subgroups, to

encompass all dietary carbohydrates. Additional terms such as dietary fibre, which describe physiological and nutritional properties, were suggested to be based on these chemical groupings.<sup>2</sup> In 2006, an FAO/WHO update on some of the key issues relating to carbohydrates in human nutrition endorsed the primary classification recommended by the 1997 Expert Consultation, but acknowledged that a chemical classification, although providing a practical basis for measurement and labelling, did not allow a simple translation into nutritional effects.<sup>3,4</sup> At present, the composition of food is measured by agreed chemical methods.<sup>5</sup>

In view of the importance of dietary fibre for health, CCNFSDU had been trying to achieve an agreed definition